Nearest Neighbour Methods for Imputing Missing Data Within and Across Scales

Valerie LeMay, PhD, Forest Resources Management Department, Univ. of BC, Vancouver, Canada  
Valerie.LeMay@ubc.ca

and

H. Temesgen, PhD, Dept. of Forest Resources, Oregon State University, Corvallis, OR  
Hailemariam.Temesgen@oregonstate.edu

Presented at the "Evaluation of quantitative techniques for deriving National scale data for assessing and mapping risk workshop", Denver, CO, July 26-28, 2005

Abstract

Commonly, forested lands are divided into polygons based on forest type. Information for each polygon often includes variables that are measured on aerial photographs (e.g., species composition, height class), and additional variables derived from the aerial attributes using yield or other models (e.g., estimated volume per ha). For detailed information, such as the amount of coarse woody debris, stand structure, or tree-lists (stems per ha by species and diameter), ground sampling of every polygon is usually not possible. However, this information would be useful to represent the current inventory, and as model inputs to project future conditions. In stands that are sampled, detail at lower scales is often also of interest, but this may be available only for some of the sampled stands because of high measurement costs. Also, for recently cut stands, particularly, partially cut stands, estimates of future regeneration are needed as inputs to growth models.

For estimating variables of interest, imputation approaches are used as alternative to regression approaches. Imputation involves substituting, plausible measurements from one or more selected units with similar characteristics to units lacking these measures (Rubin 1987, Ek et al. 1997, McRoberts 2001). Data with all variables measured are termed “reference data”, whereas data with some variables missing are termed “target data”. If only one selected unit is used in the substitution, the variability of the missing variables as represented in the reference data will be preserved in the estimates imputed to the target data (Moeur and Stage 1995, Ek et al. 1997, Haara et al. 1997, Maltamo and Kangas 1998, Moeur 2000, LeMay and Temesgen 2005). This differs from regression approaches where averages, conditional on the values of the predictor variables, are used as the estimates for the missing variables in the target data. Since imputation involves searching the reference dataset for a “match” to the target dataset, this can be computer intensive. However, software has been developed by Moeur and Stage (1995), and updated by Moeur (2000). The most recent version of this software (MSN version 2.12; 2003) is quite easy to use.

We have used imputation methods to: 1) estimate regeneration after partial cutting in mixed-species and/or uneven-aged stands (Hassani et al. 2004); 2) estimate tree-lists from aerial variables (forest cover) (LeMay and Temesgen 2001; Temesgen et al. 2003); 3) estimate wildlife trees (dead standing, or recently dead and fallen tree (Temesgen and LeMay 2001), and 4) to estimate stand level ground variables from aerial variables (LeMay and Temesgen 2005). We also have used simulation to compare different imputation methods (measures of similarity and numbers of reference plots used in imputation) for different sampling intensities for the reference data (LeMay and Temesgen 2005).

In this presentation, we present background information on imputation methods and demonstrate how these methods are employed to generate tree-lists from aerial attributes for non-sampled polygons, and improve forest inventories, analyses, and management. Examples are then given from our work using data from multi-species and multi-aged stands from southeastern British Columbia.
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